

[First Hit](#)[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

End of Result Set



Generate Collection

Print

L4: Entry 1 of 1

File: PGPB

Mar 27, 2003

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030058277 A1

TITLE: A VIEW CONFIGURER IN A PRESENTATION SERVICES PATTERNS ENVIROMENT

Pre-Grant Publication (PGPub) Document Number:  
20030058277

Detail Description Paragraph:

[3584] When the Server has retrieved all of the data meeting the search criteria, the Server builds the last "page." When the last page is returned to the client, the "last found key" is left blank. This notifies the client the search is complete and no more data matching the search exists on the Server. Note that the last page is usually smaller than the other pages.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[4097] The examples have shown a single exception handler being used. In practice it is more likely that multiple will be used. For example, the exception handler on a server may have different requirements or constraints than a client, or one client may be GUI based and display pop-up error messages, where another client is a batch program that needs to send notification messages to Operations. This can be handled by creating multiple handlers or using the Strategy pattern to customize the behavior.

[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)

[Previous Doc](#)

[Next Doc](#)

[Go to Doc#](#)

End of Result Set



Generate Collection

Print

L34: Entry 1 of 1

File: USPT

Jul 29, 2003

US-PAT-NO: 6601234

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6601234 B1

**\*\* See image for Certificate of Correction \*\***

TITLE: Attribute dictionary in a business logic services environment

DATE-ISSUED: July 29, 2003

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Bowman-Amuah; Michel K.	Colorado Springs	CO		

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
Accenture LLP	Palo Alto	CA			02

APPL-NO: 09/ 388022 [PALM]

DATE FILED: August 31, 1999

INT-CL: [07] G06 F 9/44

US-CL-ISSUED: 717/108; 717/107, 717/116, 705/7

US-CL-CURRENT: 717/108; 705/7, 717/107, 717/116

FIELD-OF-SEARCH: 705/7-11, 717/107, 717/109

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Search Selected

Search ALL

Clear

	PAT-NO	ISSUE-DATE	PATENTEE-NAME	US-CL
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5047918</u>	September 1991	Schwartz et al.	707/203
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5133075</u>	July 1992	Risch	707/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5187787</u>	February 1993	Skeen et al.	709/314
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5241580</u>	August 1993	Babson, III	379/15
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5291593</u>	March 1994	Abraham et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5301270</u>	April 1994	Steinberg et al.	345/326
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5301320</u>	April 1994	McAttee et al.	395/650

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5313636</u>	May 1994	Noble et al.	707/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5414812</u>	May 1995	Filip et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5434978</u>	July 1995	Dockter et al.	709/230
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5437038</u>	July 1995	Silberbauer et al.	395/700
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5457797</u>	October 1995	Butterworth et al.	709/302
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5463686</u>	October 1995	Lebourges	379/220
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5471629</u>	November 1995	Risch	707/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5475844</u>	December 1995	Shiramizu et al.	709/104
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5499371</u>	March 1996	Henninger et al.	717/2
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5560005</u>	September 1996	Hoover et al.	707/10
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5568644</u>	October 1996	Nelson et al.	395/741
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5581758</u>	December 1996	Burnett et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5606664</u>	February 1997	Brown et al.	709/224
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5623418</u>	April 1997	Rostoker et al.	716/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5642511</u>	June 1997	Chow et al.	395/701
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5649139</u>	July 1997	Weinreb et al.	707/202
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5671386</u>	September 1997	Blair et al.	395/405
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5675748</u>	October 1997	Ross	395/284
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5677997</u>	October 1997	Talatik	706/45
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5680602</u>	October 1997	Bloem et al.	707/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5692107</u>	November 1997	Simoudis et al.	706/12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5706506</u>	January 1998	Jensen et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5708828</u>	January 1998	Coleman	395/785
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5710901</u>	January 1998	Srodghill et al.	345/339
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5715397</u>	February 1998	Ogawa et al.	395/200.18
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5721908</u>	February 1998	Lagarde et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5724575</u>	March 1998	Hoover et al.	707/10
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5732263</u>	March 1998	Havens et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5732270</u>	March 1998	Foody et al.	709/303
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5737607</u>	April 1998	Hamilton et al.	395/701
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5751965</u>	May 1998	Mayo et al.	709/224
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5758351</u>	May 1998	Gibson	707/104
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5761513</u>	June 1998	Yellin et al.	395/705
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5764235</u>	June 1998	Hunt et al.	345/428
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5764955</u>	June 1998	Doolan	709/223
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5774660</u>	June 1998	Brendel et al.	709/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5778368</u>	July 1998	Hogan et al.	707/10
	<u>5787413</u>	July 1998	Kauffman et al.	707/2

<input type="checkbox"/>				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5799310</u>	August 1998	Anderson et al.	707/102
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5867153</u>	February 1999	Grandcolas et al.	345/326
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5870742</u>	February 1999	Chang et al.	707/8
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5870746</u>	February 1999	Knutson et al.	707/101
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5872973</u>	February 1999	Mitchell et al.	709/332
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5873086</u>	February 1999	Fujii et al.	707/10
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5878408</u>	March 1999	Van Huben et al.	707/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5890133</u>	March 1999	Ernst	705/7
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5892909</u>	April 1999	Grasso et al.	709/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5896383</u>	April 1999	Wakeland	370/400
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5898870</u>	April 1999	Okuda et al.	709/104
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5905873</u>	May 1999	Hartmann et al.	395/200.79
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5905897</u>	May 1999	Chan et al.	395/733
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5907704</u>	May 1999	Gudmundson et al.	395/701
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5909540</u>	June 1999	Carter et al.	714/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5915115</u>	June 1999	Talati	717/5
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5920703</u>	July 1999	Campbell et al.	395/200.66
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5933816</u>	August 1999	Zeannah et al.	705/35
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5940075</u>	August 1999	Mutschler, III et al.	345/335
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5940594</u>	August 1999	Ali et al.	709/203
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5946694</u>	August 1999	Copeland et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5946697</u>	August 1999	Shen	707/104
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5953707</u>	September 1999	Huang et al.	705/10
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5958012</u>	September 1999	Battat et al.	709/224
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5960200</u>	September 1999	Eager et al.	717/5
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5966451</u>	October 1999	Utsumi	380/49
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5987247</u>	November 1999	Lau	717/2
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5987501</u>	November 1999	Hamilton et al.	709/203
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5987514</u>	November 1999	Rangarajan	709/224
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5987633</u>	November 1999	Newman et al.	714/712
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5995753</u>	November 1999	Walker	717/2
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5995945</u>	November 1999	Notani et al.	705/28
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5995975</u>	November 1999	Malcolm	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5999948</u>	December 1999	Nelson	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6006230</u>	December 1999	Ludwig et al.	707/10
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6016394</u>	January 2000	Walker	717/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6018743</u>	January 2000	Xu	707/103R

<input type="checkbox"/>				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6023722</u>	February 2000	Colyer	709/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6029174</u>	February 2000	Sprenger et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6029177</u>	February 2000	Sadiq et al.	707/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6032153</u>	February 2000	Sadiq et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6035303</u>	March 2000	Baer et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6038598</u>	March 2000	Danneels	709/219
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6041365</u>	March 2000	Kleinerman	709/302
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6052739</u>	April 2000	Bopardikar et al.	709/301
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6057856</u>	May 2000	Miyashita et al.	345/435
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6070191</u>	May 2000	Narendran et al.	709/226
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6078960</u>	June 2000	Ballard	709/229
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6081837</u>	June 2000	Stedman et al.	709/219
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6083276</u>	July 2000	Davidson et al.	717/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6085198</u>	July 2000	Skinner et al.	707/103
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6092118</u>	July 2000	Tsang	709/246
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6108703</u>	August 2000	Leighton et al.	709/226
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6115752</u>	September 2000	Chauhan	709/241
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6125359</u>	September 2000	Lautzenheiser et al.	706/60
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6128279</u>	October 2000	O'Neil et al.	370/229
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6141660</u>	October 2000	Bach et al.	345/352
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6141759</u>	October 2000	Braddy	713/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6144991</u>	November 2000	England	709/205
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6148335</u>	November 2000	Haggard et al.	709/224
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6148361</u>	November 2000	Carpenter et al.	710/260
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6154212</u>	November 2000	Eick et al.	345/356
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6157940</u>	December 2000	Marullo et al.	709/22
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6182182</u>	January 2001	Bradley et al.	710/129
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6202099</u>	March 2001	Gillies et al.	709/319
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6223209</u>	April 2001	Watson	709/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>6243761</u>	June 2001	Mogul et al.	709/246

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN-PAT-NO	PUBN-DATE	COUNTRY	US-CL
0123456	January 2000	EP	100/100
WO92/01251	January 1992	WO	
WO 99/08208	February 1999	WO	
WO 99/44155	September 1999	WO	

PCT/US00/23885	August 2000	WO
PCT/US00/23999	August 2000	WO
PCT/US00/24082	August 2000	WO
PCT/US00/24083	August 2000	WO
PCT/US00/24084	August 2000	WO
PCT/US00/24085	August 2000	WO
PCT/US00/24086	August 2000	WO
PCT/US00/24125	August 2000	WO
PCT/US/00/24188	August 2000	WO
PCT/US00/24189	August 2000	WO
PCT/US00/24236	August 2000	WO

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Stefik, M. Bobrow, D.G., and Kahn, K. Access-oriented programming for a multiparadigm environment. Proceedings of the Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Jan. 1986.\*

Lutz, Mark. Programming Python. O'Reilly & Associates, 1996, pp. 415-416, downloaded Jul. 15, 2002 from NetLibrary.com.\*

Gamma, Erich et al. Design Patterns: Elements of Resuable Object-oriented Software. Addison-Wesley, 1994, pp. 185-193.\*

Rosenthala, James W. et al. A Fine-grained Access Control Model for Object-oriented DBMSs. First published in IFIP Transactions: Database Security VII, Elsevier, 1995.\*

Wang, Haojin. "Managed Object Class Dictionary" in Telecommunications Network Management, McGraw-Hill, 1999, Appendix D. Retrieved from Books24x7.com on Jul. 15, 2002.\*

Kinexis. Object-orientation and Transaction Processing: Where Do They Meet. OOPSLA Keynote, Oct. 6-11, 1991.\*

Lee et al. Path Dictionary: A New Access Method for Query Processing in Object-oriented Databases. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, v10, n3, May/Jun. 1998.\*

Buddrus et al. Enacting Authorization Models for Object-oriented Databases. Database and Expert Systems Applications, Proceedings., Seventh International Workshop, Sep. 9-10, 1996, pp. 116-121.\*

Bertino et al. Trigger Inheritance and Overriding in an Active Object Database System. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, v12, n4, Jul./Aug., 2000.\*

Kovalerchuck et al., comparison of relational methods and attribute-based methods for data mining in intelligent systems, proceedings of the 1999 IEEE, International Symposium on Intelligent Systems and Semiotics, Cambridge, MA, PP 162-166. Date Sep. 1999.

ANSII Standard for the Programming Language C++, First Edition ISO/IEC 14882: 1998. Date Sep. 1998.

The Annotated C++ Reference Manual ANSI Base Document, M.A. Ellis and B. Stroustrup. Date Jul. 1990.

IBM Dictionary of Computing, pp. 140, 241, 299, 728.

Microsoft Corporation, Microsoft Solutions Framework Overview A Quick Tour of the MSF Models, URL: <http://channels.microsoft.com/enterprise/support/support/consult>, Viewed Oct. 9, 1999.

Microsoft Corporation, Microsoft Solutions Framework Overview A Quick Tour of the MSF Models, URL: <http://channels.microsoft.com/enterprise/support/support/consult>, Viewed Oct. 9, 1999.

ART-UNIT: 3623

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Hafiz; Tariq R.

ASSISTANT-EXAMINER: Jeanty; Romain

ATTY-AGENT-FIRM: Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP

ABSTRACT:

A system and method are provided for controlling access to data of a business object via an attribute dictionary. The attribute dictionary, which stores attribute names and values, is dispatched over a network. A helper facade is provided for interfacing a business object and the attribute dictionary. Next, it is verified that a current user is authorized to either set or get one of the attribute values upon a request which includes the attribute name that corresponds to the attribute value. The helper facade is called to set, get, or update one of the attribute values based on the corresponding attribute name, wherein the helper facade shields the attribute dictionary from the application code of the business object. The attribute value in the attribute dictionary is obtained or updated if the verification is successful, and a dirty flag is set in the attribute dictionary and an indicator is broadcast upon the attribute value being updated.

15 Claims, 195 Drawing figures

[Previous Doc](#)

[Next Doc](#)

[Go to Doc#](#)

**End of Result Set**

Generate Collection

Print

L39: Entry 1 of 1

File: USPT

Jul 29, 2003

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6601234 B1

**\*\* See image for Certificate of Correction \*\***

TITLE: Attribute dictionary in a business logic services environment

Brief Summary Text (10):

The architecture of the Web follows a conventional client-server model. The terms "client" and "server" are used to refer to a computer's general role as a requester of data (the client) or provider of data (the server). Under the Web environment, Web browsers reside in clients and Web documents reside in servers. Web clients and Web servers communicate using a protocol called "HyperText Transfer Protocol" (HTTP). A browser opens a connection to a server and initiates a request for a document. The server delivers the requested document, typically in the form of a text document coded in a standard Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) format, and when the connection is closed in the above interaction, the server serves a passive role, i.e., it accepts commands from the client and cannot request the client to perform any action.

Detailed Description Text (300):

Web Browsers employ standard protocols such as Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) and File Transfer Protocol (FTP) to provide seamless access to documents across machine and network boundaries.

Detailed Description Text (501):

TelAlert; e-mail systems e-mail systems--some e-mail systems and fax servers can be configured to generate pages to notify users when a defined event occurs such as e-mail/fax arriving. Telamon's TetAlert--TelAlert provides notification capabilities for UNIX systems. For example, it can page support personnel in the event of system problems.

Detailed Description Text (504):

Phone services enable clients, servers, and specialized telephony nodes (PBXs, ACDs, etc.) to control the telephony environment through the following telephony controls: Call control Controls telephone features Controls recorded messages Manipulates real time call activities (e.g., make call, answer, transfer, hold, conference, mute transfer, release, route call, call treatments and digits collected) Telephone status control Controls telephone status functions Logs users in and out of the system Sets ready, not ready, and make busy statuses for users

Detailed Description Text (600):

File Transfer services enable the sending and receiving of files or other large blocks of data between two resources. In addition to basic file transport, features for security, guaranteed delivery, sending and tracking sets of files, and error logging may be needed if a more robust file transfer architecture is required. The following are examples of File Transfer standards: File Transfer Protocol (FTP) allows users to upload and download files across the network. FTP also provides a mechanism to obtain filename, directory name, attributes and file size information. Remote file access protocols, such as Network File System (NFS) also use a block transfer method, but are optimized for online read/write paging of a file.

HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP)--Within a Web-based environment, Web servers transfer HTML pages to clients using HTTP. HTTP can be thought of as a lightweight file transfer protocol optimized for transferring small files. HTTP reduces the inefficiencies of the FTP protocol. HTTP runs on top of TCP/IP and was developed specifically for the transmission of hypertext between client and server. The HTTP standard is changing rapidly. Secure Hypertext Transfer Protocol (S-HTTP)--a secure form of HTTP, mostly for financial transactions on the Web. S-HTTP has gained a small level of acceptance among merchants selling products on the Internet as a way to conduct financial transactions (using credit card numbers, passing sensitive information) without the risk of unauthorized people intercepting this information. S-HTTP incorporates various cryptographic message formats such as DSA and RSA standards into both the Web client and the Web server. File Transfer and Access Management (FTAM)--The OSI (Open Systems Interconnection) standard for file transfer, file access, and file management across platforms.

Detailed Description Text (607):

The following product provides File Transfer translation: IBM's Files On-Demand gateway--acts as a gateway between Web-based and mainframe-based FTP services to allow users to download mainframe-based files from a World Wide Web browser.

Detailed Description Text (654):

X.400--The X.400 message handling system standard defines a platform independent standard for store-and-forward message transfers among mail servers. X.400 is often used as a backbone e-mail service, with gateways providing interconnection with end-user systems.

Detailed Description Text (655):

SMTP--Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) is a UNIX/Internet standard for transferring e-mail among servers.

Detailed Description Text (758):

Encryption that is architected into Web-based solutions Netscape's Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)--provides encryption for World Wide Web browsers. S-HTTP--a secure version of the HTTP data transfer standard; used in conjunction with the World Wide Web.

Detailed Description Text (2260):

When the Server has retrieved all of the data meeting the search criteria, the Server builds the last "page." When the last page is returned to the client, the "last found key" is left blank. This notifies the client the search is complete and no more data matching the search exists on the Server. Note that the last page is usually smaller than the other pages.

Detailed Description Text (2642):

The examples have shown a single exception handler being used. In practice it is more likely that multiple will be used. For example, the exception handler on a server may have different requirements or constraints than a client, or one client may be GUI based and display pop-up error messages, where another client is a batch program that needs to send notification messages to Operations. This can be handled by creating multiple handlers or using the Strategy pattern to customize the behavior.

[Previous Doc](#)

[Next Doc](#)

[Go to Doc#](#)

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)**End of Result Set****Generate Collection****Print**

L27: Entry 3 of 3

File: USPT

Jul 1, 2003

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6587127 B1

TITLE: Content player method and server with user profile

Detailed Description Text (103):

It is noted that a personal notification message can be sent to an end user without use of the aforementioned notification prompt. In this case, a notification message is sent to the end user for an event or a program which may be of interest based upon listening behavior or other activity of the end user. Hence, in general, the server 102 can provide personal notification for content that is broadcast at a scheduled time based upon either an expressed interest of the end user (e.g. through the aforementioned active marking for content using the notification prompt) or a passive interest of the end user (e.g. through monitoring of user activity).

[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

Generate Collection

Print

L33: Entry 2 of 7

File: USPT

Oct 5, 2004

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6801225 B1

TITLE: Data storage in ole systems

Application Filing Date (1):19990729Detailed Description Text (36):

As a further level of detail, before the render manager issues a re-render command to the effects server, the render manager issues a "prepare to render" message specifying which image in the sequence is to be rendered. The effects server responds with a notification of its "dependencies", i.e. those rendered images which are essential before the request by the render manager can be executed. These might be images rendered by another effect (e.g. the or an immediately preceding effect in the directed acyclic graph) or images rendered by that effect itself. This latter case can occur in the example of a motion tracker, where in order to render, say, image 5, the motion tracker needs its own rendered output for image 4.

Detailed Description Text (43):

As a further extension, the same protocol can be used so that each effects server can notify the render manager if its output is the same between adjacent images. A simple example of this is a (fixed) parameter plug-in, where the output is invariant. A further example is any other effect where the outputs have already been prepared and cached, so that a straightforward detection can be made as to whether successive outputs are identical. In response to such a notification, the render manager pass the information on to an effects server which is later in the directed acyclic graph. That effects server can then (if appropriate) render only one of a range of images and repeat that output for other images where its input remains the same.

Current US Cross Reference Classification (1):715/765[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

Generate Collection

Print

L33: Entry 4 of 7

File: USPT

Dec 14, 1999

US-PAT-NO: 6003067

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6003067 A

**\*\* See image for Certificate of Correction \*\***

TITLE: Data transmission controlling method and data transmission controlling system, and computer memory product

DATE-ISSUED: December 14, 1999

## INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Suzuki; Toshimitsu	Kawasaki			JP
Saito; Kazumi	Kawasaki			JP
Yashiro; Sadao	Kawasaki			JP
Muramoto; Takahide	Kawasaki			JP

## ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
Fujitsu Limited	Kawasaki			JP	03

APPL-NO: 08/ 880539 [PALM]

DATE FILED: June 23, 1997

## FOREIGN-APPL-PRIORITY-DATA:

COUNTRY	APPL-NO	APPL-DATE
JP	9-019477	January 31, 1997

INT-CL: [06] G06 F 15/16

US-CL-ISSUED: 709/204; 709/205, 345/330, 345/340, 345/344, 345/345

US-CL-CURRENT: 709/204; 709/205, 715/753, 715/790, 715/803

FIELD-OF-SEARCH: 395/200.33, 395/200.34, 395/200.54, 345/345, 345/419, 345/473, 345/329, 345/330, 345/344, 709/204, 709/205

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Search Selected

Search ALL

Clear

PAT-NO

ISSUE-DATE

PATENTEE-NAME

US-CL

4833535

May 1989

Ozeki et al.

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5481297</u>	January 1996	Cash et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5583980</u>	December 1996	Anderson	345/473
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5682166</u>	October 1997	Takahashi	345/419
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5812132</u>	September 1998	Goldstein	345/345

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN-PAT-NO	PUBN-DATE	COUNTRY	US-CL
3-16390	January 1991	JP	

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Ambras et al., "MicroScope: an integrated program analysis toolset.", Hewlett-Packard Journal, v39 No. 4, p. 71, Aug. 1988.  
Anonymous, "Data Based Advisor COMDEX sneak preview", Data Based Advisor, v7 No. 11, p. 71, Nov. 1989.  
Maestri, George, "Aldus AfterImage", Digital Video Magazine, v2 No. 11, p. 85, Dec. 1994.  
Richter, Jeffrey, "Extend the Windows 95 shell with application desktop toolbars", Microsoft Systems Journal, v11 No. 3, p. 35, Mar. 1996.

ART-UNIT: 276

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Asta; Frank J.

ASSISTANT-EXAMINER: Romero; Almari

ATTY-AGENT-FIRM: Staas &amp; Halsey LLP

## ABSTRACT:

A data transmission processing method and system and a computer memory product capable of quickly performing the displaying operation of the screen data by transmitting of the screen data except the units which do not display on the screen due to hiding, to the first information processing apparatus from the second information processing apparatus.

23 Claims, 18 Drawing figures

[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

Generate Collection

Print

L33: Entry 4 of 7

File: USPT

Dec 14, 1999

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6003067 A

**\*\* See image for Certificate of Correction \*\***

TITLE: Data transmission controlling method and data transmission controlling system, and computer memory product

Application Filing Date (1):  
19970623Detailed Description Text (13):

FIG. 8 is a diagram showing such a condition transition for the processing procedures. As described above, the screen data transmission has been demanded from the server 10A at the time point T1, and then, the hidden area is detected, and the hidden area is notified to a client 30A at the time point T2. In the client 30A, the screen data except screen data corresponding to the hidden area is extracted. The screen data extracted at the time point T3 is transmitted to the server 10A and is displayed.

Detailed Description Text (26):

As shown in FIG. 11, at a time point T13, the client 30B stores in the table 33b the hidden area notified from the server 10B, so as to transmit the extracted screen data to the server 10B (at step S31). As shown in FIG. 12, the server 10B receives the screen data from the client 30B, so as to display it on the screen (at step S41).

Detailed Description Text (27):

The client 30B measures (at step S32) with a timer 41 the setting time stored in the set time management table 41, after the lapse of the predetermined time period (at step S33), so as to detect (at step S34) whether or not the screen image of the client 30B has been changed by the screen change detecting unit 39. When the screen image has been changed, the flag of the table 33b is erected (at step S36). When the screen image has not been changed, without erection of the flag at a time point T14, the flag data is notified to the server 10B (at step S36).

Detailed Description Text (28):

At a time point T14, the server 10B which has received the flag data moves (at step S42), the window of the client 30B, having notified the flag data, to the uppermost layer to cause a voice or a message from a speaker 23 to speak, so as to notify (at step S43) of the client number. Thus, the user of the server 10B can recognize which client does not change the screen data within the predetermined period. The server 10B demands (at step S44) the screen data of the client 30B at a time point T15. The client 30B receives the demand from the server 10B and extracts the screen data as in the first embodiment at a step S31, so as to transmit it to the server 10B. The server 10B displays the transmitted screen data (at step S45).

Detailed Description Text (29):

When the screen of the client in the predetermined time is not changed, since that is notified to the server by such a data transmission controlling operation, the user of the server can know promptly that which client has not changed the screen data. Although the server 10B tells of the client after the window has been caused to move to the uppermost layer at a step S42 and at a step S43, the operation is

not limited to them only. Only either of them will do. Also, the notification of the client can be conducted through not only speaking of the voice or the message, but also, processing such as window blinking, window discoloration, etc.

Detailed Description Text (30):

FIG. 11 and FIG. 12 describe the processing procedures in the notifying operation of the screen image change from the client to the server, which is not the only procedure. For example, the notification of the screen image change may be demanded from the server to the client. The processing procedures in this case will be described hereinafter.

Detailed Description Text (31):

FIG. 13 is a flow chart showing the processing procedures for a server when the notification of the screen image change is demanded from the server to the client. FIG. 14 is a flow chart showing the processing procedures of a client in this case. When the windows are superposed and displayed on the server screen, the data transmission processing operation is conducted as in the first embodiment with the description thereof being omitted.

Detailed Description Text (32):

As shown in FIG. 14, at a time point T23, the client 30B stores in the table 33b the hidden area notified from the server 10B to transmit (at step S61) the extracted screen data to the server 10B. As shown in FIG. 13, the server 10B receives the screen data from the client 30B and displays (at step S51) it on the screen. The predetermined time set in the predetermined client is measured with the timer 22 based on the set time management table 20 (at step S52). The timer 22 has the table 22a and can measure the respective setting times of a plurality of clients. After the lapse of the predetermined time (at step S53), at a time point T24, the flag data of the area data management unit 33 which the client has, is demanded (at step S54).

Detailed Description Text (36):

When no changes have been conducted within the predetermined time on the screen of the client by the aforementioned data transmission controlling operation, the user of the server can know as soon as possible which client does not change the screen data. Since the window of the client where the screen image has been changed is caused to move to the uppermost layer, the server can react quickly to the screen image change of the client. Further, since the respective setting times by a plurality of clients are measured on the server, and the notification of the change in the respective screen data is demanded by the server, the controlling operation of the window displaying operation is likely to be conducted about a plurality of clients.

Detailed Description Text (40):

The client 30C has an area data management unit 33, a voice recognition unit 42, a button detecting unit 44 and a recognition data registration unit 43. The other configurations of the client 30C are similar to those of the first embodiment. The description thereof will be omitted, because the same reference numerals are given to the same units. The area data management unit 33 is provided with a table 33b having a flag. A flag indicating the existence of the screen data change and a hidden area are stored in the table 33b and the flag is erected when the screen data has been changed. When the voice recognition unit 42 recognizes the voice which the user of the client 30C has spoken and the voice is a voice registered in the recognition data registration unit 43, it judges that the user of the client 30C has shown some action, so as to notify the server 10C of it. The button detecting unit 44 detects that a question button provided on the window of the client has been operated and judges that the user of the client 30C has shown some action, so as to notify the server 10C of it.

Detailed Description Text (42):

As shown in FIG. 16, at a time point T33, the client 30C stores in the table 33b the hidden area notified from the server 10C, so as to transmit the extracted screen data to the server 10C (at step S71). When the voice from the user is detected (at step S72) by the voice recognition unit 42 after the screen data has been transmitted, it is judged (at step S73) whether or not the detected word is a word, registered in the recognition data registration unit 43, such as "question", "Excuse me" or the like. When the word is a registered word, it is notified to the server 10C at a time point T34 (at step S74). Then, the screen image changes are detected (at step S75) by the screen change detection unit 39. When the screen has been changed, the flag of the area data management unit 33 is erected as in that of the second embodiment. When the screen has not been changed, the flag is not erected.

Detailed Description Text (43):

At a step S72 and a step S73, a processing operation may be conducted to judge whether or not an operation of a question button has been detected by the button detecting unit 44, instead of voice to be recognized. By the operation of the question button, it is notified to a server 10C that the user of the client has shown an action. The operation of the question button cannot always be detected. Furthermore, whether or not the user of the client has operated a completion button, when the input processing operation has been completed, can be detected. The operation can be processed to notify the server 10C of it.

Detailed Description Text (44):

The server 10C having received the notification of the voice from the client 30C makes the voice or the message speak as shown in FIG. 17, to notify of a client number (at step S81). Thus, the user of the server 10C can recognize the user of which client 30C has shown the action. The server 10C demands (at step S82) the screen data to the client 30C shown some action at a time point T35. When the screen image changes after the screen data is demanded by the server 10C (at step S76), the client 30C transmits the screen data (at step S77). When the screen image is not changed (at step S76), the screen data is not transmitted. The server 10C judges (at step S83) whether or not the screen data has been transmitted from the client 30C. When the screen data has been transmitted, the display of the screen data is renewed (at step S84). When the screen data has been transmitted, the displaying of the screen data can be renewed and also, the window of the client can be moved to the uppermost layer.

Current US Cross Reference Classification (3):

715/790

[Previous Doc](#)

[Next Doc](#)

[Go to Doc#](#)

HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP)--Within a Web-based environment, Web servers transfer HTML pages to clients using HTTP. HTTP can be thought of as a lightweight file transfer protocol optimized for transferring small files. HTTP reduces the inefficiencies of the FTP protocol. HTTP runs on top of TCP/IP and was developed specifically for the transmission of hypertext between client and server. The HTTP standard is changing rapidly. Secure Hypertext Transfer Protocol (S-HTTP)--a secure form of HTTP, mostly for financial transactions on the Web. S-HTTP has gained a small level of acceptance among merchants selling products on the Internet as a way to conduct financial transactions (using credit card numbers, passing sensitive information) without the risk of unauthorized people intercepting this information. S-HTTP incorporates various cryptographic message formats such as DSA and RSA standards into both the Web client and the Web server. File Transfer and Access Management (FTAM)--The OSI (Open Systems Interconnection) standard for file transfer, file access, and file management across platforms.

Detailed Description Text (607):

The following product provides File Transfer translation: IBM's Files On-Demand gateway--acts as a gateway between Web-based and mainframe-based FTP services to allow users to download mainframe-based files from a World Wide Web browser.

Detailed Description Text (654):

X.400--The X.400 message handling system standard defines a platform independent standard for store-and-forward message transfers among mail servers. X.400 is often used as a backbone e-mail service, with gateways providing interconnection with end-user systems.

Detailed Description Text (655):

SMTP--Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) is a UNIX/Internet standard for transferring e-mail among servers.

Detailed Description Text (758):

Encryption that is architected into Web-based solutions Netscape's Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)--provides encryption for World Wide Web browsers. S-HTTP--a secure version of the HTTP data transfer standard; used in conjunction with the World Wide Web.

Detailed Description Text (2260):

When the Server has retrieved all of the data meeting the search criteria, the Server builds the last "page." When the last page is returned to the client, the "last found key" is left blank. This notifies the client the search is complete and no more data matching the search exists on the Server. Note that the last page is usually smaller than the other pages.

Detailed Description Text (2642):

The examples have shown a single exception handler being used. In practice it is more likely that multiple will be used. For example, the exception handler on a server may have different requirements or constraints than a client, or one client may be GUI based and display pop-up error messages, where another client is a batch program that needs to send notification messages to Operations. This can be handled by creating multiple handlers or using the Strategy pattern to customize the behavior.

[Previous Doc](#)

[Next Doc](#)

[Go to Doc#](#)

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

Generate Collection

Print

L27: Entry 1 of 3

File: USPT

Oct 21, 2003

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6636242 B2

TITLE: View configurer in a presentation services patterns environment

Detailed Description Text (495):

Possible Product Options TelAlert; E-mail Systems e-mail systems--some e-mail systems and fax servers can be configured to generate pages to notify users when a defined event occurs such as e-mail/fax arriving. Telamon's TelAlert--TelAlert provides notification capabilities for UNIX systems. For example, it can page support personnel in the event of system problems.

Detailed Description Text (2146):

Definitions Starting Key The Starting Key is the initial starting point for the search. The database will begin searching for data (customers in the message trace above) at the Starting Key. An example starting key could be "A\*". Last Found Key The Last Found Key is used to request subsequent pages of data from the Server and the database. The "last found key" defines the starting point for the next data request. The Server will begin searching for data at the "last found key" and continue until it has retrieved a full "page" of information. When all of the data has been retrieved from the Server and Database, the Last Found Key is left blank. This notifies the Client that all the data has been sent. Intermediate Page An intermediate "page" is returned for every request but the last. When a client receives an intermediate page and a "last found key", the client knows more "pages" of data exist on the server. In order to obtain an intermediate "page," a "last found key" must be passed from the client to the server. When the Server has retrieved a full "page" of data, the new "last found key" is saved. It is then passed back with the intermediate "page." The new "last found key" defines the starting point for the next data request. Last Page When the Server has retrieved all of the data meeting the search criteria, the Server builds the last "page." When the last page is returned to the client, the "last found key" is left blank. This notifies the client the search is complete and no more data matching the search exists on the Server. Note that the last page is usually smaller than the other pages. Empty Page When no data are selected from the search criteria, the server builds an empty page signaling to the client no more data exist on the server. Static or Dynamic Page Size The page size can be defined statically or dynamically. The message trace diagram in FIG. 99 depicts a static page size. If you'd like a dynamic page size, the client must pass an additional parameter with each request to the Server. The additional parameter would be the page size. The steps associated with FIG. 99 will now be set forth.

Detailed Description Text (2489):

The examples have shown a single exception handler being used. In practice it is more likely that multiple will be used. For example, the exception handler on a server may have different requirements or constraints than a client, or one client may be GUI based and display pop-up error messages, where another client is a batch program that needs to send notification messages to Operations. This can be handled by creating multiple handlers or using the Strategy pattern to customize the behavior.

[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)

Generate Collection

Print

L27: Entry 2 of 3

File: USPT

Jul 8, 2003

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6590588 B2

TITLE: Wireless, radio-frequency communications using a handheld computer

Detailed Description Text (378):

Another possible attack is for someone to impersonate the base station 170 and proxy server 180. The attacking rogue server would attempt to force the wireless client 405 to accept a new public key as part of the public key rejection mechanism outlined above in step number 7 above. In order for this attack to be successful, however, the rogue server must know the private key of the real proxy server 180. Furthermore, the rogue server must be able to receive and transmit messages using the unique identification number of the real proxy server 180. Thus, although an attack premised on impersonation of a base station 170 and a proxy server is possible, such an attack would be very difficult to mount. To further reduce the risk of this attack, the wireless client 405 software asks user permission through a dialog before accepting a new public key from the proxy server 180. Users are forewarned, through means other than the wireless network (e.g., wireline e-mail, or hard copy delivery) when a proxy server 180 public key is changed so that "legal" changes to the proxy server 180 public key do not come as a surprise to a user. Because the user knows of any legal change to the proxy server 180 public key before the change is made, base station 170 and proxy server 180 impersonation attacks can be defeated by user denial of permission to use new public keys that are not accompanied by appropriate user notification.

Detailed Description Text (461):

When RMP is being used over a network that does not guarantee delivery of packets, RMP provides a mechanism for the re-transmission of lost packets. Most reliable protocol designs rely on acknowledgements from the remote host to indicate to the sender that a packet was properly received. Then, if an acknowledgement is not received within a specified timeout period, the packet is resent. This method is not used in RMP because it forces a minimum of three packets to be exchanged for a single transaction (request to proxy server 180, response to wireless client 405, acknowledgement of response to proxy server 180).

[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)